



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/935,545	08/24/2001	Stephan Hartwig	1123.40573X00	8708	
20457 75	90 06/30/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			FLEARY, CARO	LYN FATIMAH	
SUITE 1800	DV DIVIDERVIII GIREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON, VA 22209-3873			2152		
			DATE MAIL ED. 06/20/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(a)				
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	09/935,545	HARTWIG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Carolyn F. Fleary	2152				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 A</u>	oril 2005					
	<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1,2,6 and 9-15 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-2,6,9-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2152

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicants' amendment to claim 10 "updating stored identifying information of said device in said pluggable server module" is not disclosed in Applicants amended specification, filed 4/05/2005.
- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-2,6,10-13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2152

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4.

5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Pennerath et al. (EP 1069694 A1).

In regards to claim 1, Pennerath et al. et al. discloses a pluggable server module (31,41,51) for remote control (80) of a device (1,2,3,4), comprising

- a wireless transceiver (50), a computing means (51), a storage means (52), a server remote control logic (53), a standardized interface and connector for connection to said device (7), wherein said wireless transceiver (50) is connected to said computing means (51), and said computing means (310) is connected to said server remote control logic (53) said remote control logic(53) is connected to said standard interface and connector (7) and said storage means(52) is connected to said computing means (51) for storing user interface data([0030][0033])
- 6. Claims 1- 2, and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Hollstrom et al. (US 2001/0056502).

<u>In regards to claim 1</u>, Hollstrom et al. discloses a pluggable (e.g. connected directly to the devices) server module (31,41,51) for remote control (of a device (30,40,50), comprising

a wireless transceiver (364,362), a computing means (310), a storage means (320), a server remote control logic (348, [0041]), a standardized interface and connector for connection to said device (350), wherein said wireless transceiver (364,362) is connected to said computing means (310), and said computing means (310) is connected to said server remote control logic (348) said remote control logic(348, [0041]) is connected to said standard interface and connector (350) and said storage means(320 [0035][0040]) is connected to said computing means (310) for storing user interface data([0038]e.g. function for enable user input from a mobile terminal to the server module to the device.)

<u>In regards to claim 2</u>, Hollstrom et al. discloses (see fig. 3) a pluggable server module according to claim 1, further comprising a wireless protocol stack server (340) connected between said wireless transceiver (362,364) and said computing means (310).

In regards to claim 14 and 15, Hollstrom et al. discloses a device(400) comprising a logic element(e.g. CGI routines that when executed by control logic-140 controls functionally of the device[0038]), and a control logic[410], and being characterized by a standard interface and connector (460, [0036]) for operable connecting to a pluggable server(31,541,51) according to claim 1 or claim 2, wherein said standard interface and connector are connected to said control logic and said control logic is connected to said logic element (fig. 4 e.g. connection between 410 and 430, [0038)].

7. Claims 9-10,12, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rezvani (US 2003/0140107).

Application/Control Number: 09/935,545

Art Unit: 2152

<u>In regards to claim 9</u>, Rezvani et al. discloses a method for transferring user interface data for preparing the remote controlling of a device by means of a pluggable server module, from said device to said pluggable server module (abstract lines 1-5), comprising the steps of:

- detecting a pluggable server module connected to a standardized interface and a connector of said device ([0061] lines 4-7, [0140- 0141];
- retrieving said user interface data ([0060] [0076]) from a storage means([0058]) of said device
- transferring said user interface data to said pluggable server module via said standardized interface and said connector ([0060] lines 1-4).

Although Rezvani et al. teaches the detection of pluggable server module (monitoring module), Rezvani et al. is silent on the connection. However this feature is deemed to be inherent to the Rezvani et al. system as section [0140] shows automatic detection allows devices coupled to modules to be automatically detected.

Although Rezvani et al. teaches user interface data and the pluggable sever module, Rezvani et al. is silent on the transfer of the user interface data to the pluggable server. However this feature is deemed to be inherent to the Rezvani et al. system as section [0060] shows is loaded with data from a device. The Rezvani et al. system would not be able to allow for remote control of a device if the user interface data wee not transferred to the pluggable server module.

<u>In regards to claim 10</u>, Rezvani discloses a method of retrieving user interface data (e.g. programming, objects, device descriptor (49), user interface (58)) for preparing the controlling of a device by means of pluggable server module to enable interaction of the

device, wirelessly (e.g. WAP enabled phone (17) [0082]), with remote terminal[0060], said method comprising the steps of:

- requesting device identifying information (e.g. drivers, unique strings, device specific template etc.) from said device, containing at least device and manufacture related information [0061][0076][0142][0144][0147].
- receiving and storing said device identifying information (e.g. templates, drivers, unique strings) in said pluggable server module including updating stored identifying information of said device in said pluggable server module ([0094] [0147] e.g. obtaining info from device, receiving updates from manufacturer)
- transferring said device identifying information to a network access point (14,17
 [0038]) which may be the remote control terminal itself;([0094-0096])
- Transferring said device identifying information from said Network Access Point to a communication network; ([0094-0096])
- Receiving said user interface data by response from said communication network
 ([0094-0096]);
- storing said user interface data in said pluggable server module (28),
 ([0058][0060][0076][0094] [0147])

In regards to claim 12,13, Rezvani et al. discloses computer program embodied on a tangible medium ([0049][0051][0060][0140) by a wireless remote control terminal (17,22) via a lower power radio link and a pluggable server (28), comprising a program code when said program is run on the pluggable server(28) for carrying out the above steps in claims 9 and 10.

Art Unit: 2152

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claim 6,12, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Hollstrom (US 2001/0056502) in view of Rezvani et al. (US 2003/0140107)

In regards to claims 6, and 12 Hollstrom et al. discloses a method for remote controlling of a device by a wireless remote control terminal (10) via a wireless link (32), a pluggable server (31,51,41) connected to said device via standard interface and connector (350) and computer program embodied on a tangible medium by a wireless remote control terminal (10) via a lower power radio link and a pluggable server (31,41,51), comprising a program code, when said program is run on the pluggable server for carrying out the following steps:

- transfer user interface content and/or auxiliary content interface (e.g. use full information, start page) by a wireless protocol stack(340) from said pluggable server(31,51,41) to said wireless remote control terminal (10) that may be among others a set of commands (e.g. plurality of controls) for controlling said device or said pluggable server via said wireless link [0042][0043][0045].
- displaying said contents on a display (13) in said wireless remote control terminal
 [0045]

Art Unit: 2152

selecting one of the commands ([0047]) in said terminal (50), by a user input
 ([0045]); and generating a contents request in said terminal (e.g. request to view pictures) according to said selection;

- transferring a content request by wireless protocol stack(240) via said wireless link
 ([0044]) from said wireless remote control terminal (10) to said pluggable server
 (41) [0045] [0047].
- invoking the desired remote command (in device (41)) by using a communication protocol on the standard interface and connection, the remote command being triggered, specified and <u>parameterized</u> by said content request to the pluggable server[0047].
- executing said command in said device[00047]
- transmitting and displaying said corresponding response page on the remote control terminal ([0045-0047])

Hollstrom et al. is silent on

- communicating the results of the remote command execution in said device from said device to said pluggable server
- creating a corresponding response page in said pluggable server;

Rezvani et al. discloses:

A method for remote controlling of a device ([0004]-lines 1-3, [0054]-lines 1-14, [0056)) by a wireless remote control terminal ([0038] lines 9- 10) via a wireless link ((0039-lines 5- 11, (0050)-line 7), a pluggable server (28) connected to said device (32) via a standardized interface and a connector ([0051]-lines 1-10,[0052], lines 6-15 [0057] lines 1-6) and computer program embodied on a tangible medium ([0049][0051][0060][0140) by a wireless remote control terminal (17,22) via a lower power radio link and a pluggable server

Art Unit: 2152

(28), comprising a program code when said program is run on the pluggable server(28) for carrying out the following steps of:

- transferring a content request by wireless protocol stack (e.g. WAP enabled cell phone [0082]) via said wireless link from said wireless remote control terminal(17,22) to said pluggable server(28) ([0064][0074][0085]).
- invoking the desired remote command in device ([0085] by using a communication protocol on the standardized interface and connector (e.g. connection between (28) and (34) in fig. 1), the remote command being triggered, specified and parameterized by said content request to the pluggable server ([0005-0006], [0042][0078])
- executing said command in said device; ([0060], lines 9-12)
- communicating the result of the remote command execution in said device from said device to said pluggable server ([0062)-lines 11-15,[(0080])
- creating a corresponding response page in said pluggable server ([0074] lines 5-13,
 [0042]) and
- transmitting and displaying said corresponding response page on the remote control terminal ([0042], [0053] lines 4-6, [0075] lines 7-16,[0077] lines 1-6, [0080][0090])

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Hollstrom et al. by having communicating the results of the remote command execution in said device from said device to said pluggable server creating a corresponding response page in said pluggable server and transmitting and displaying said corresponding response page on the remote control terminal, as taught by Rezvani et al. in order to display a current state of a device [0090]

10. Claims 11,12, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rezvani (US 2002/0140107) as applied to claim 1 above further in view of Rudd et al. (US 6,178,468):

<u>In regards to claim 11,12 and 13</u> Rezvani et al. teaches a method according to claim 10 wherein the transfer of said device identifying information from said remote control terminal (17,22) to said communication network (16) is executed by :

transferring device identifying information said internet access point (23) to said
 communications network(16) via the internet [0038][0039]

Rezvani also discloses computer program embodied on a tangible medium ([0049][0051][0060][0140) by a wireless remote control terminal (17,22) via a lower power radio link and a pluggable server (28), comprising a program code when said program is run on the pluggable server(28) for carrying out the above steps.:

Rezvani et al. is silent on:

• first transferring device identifying information to a first internet access point via a telephone network..

Rúdd et al. discloses:

a method wherein the transfer of said device identifying information from said remote control terminal to said communication network is executed by:

- Transferring said device-identifying information first to an Internet access point via a telephone network (col. 4 lines 65-67), and then
- Transferring said device-identifying information from said Internet access point to said communication network via Internet. (col. 4 lines 10-11, 16-18)

Rudd et al. also discloses computer program when said program is run carries out the above steps (abs e.g. code causing installation and downloading of files to occur).

Rudd et al. teaches alternate sources (URL, BBS, LAN, WAN) may be used to retrieve installation resources (col. 4 lines 60-68) where the structure and logic remains the same.

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Rezvani et al. by having first transferring device identifying information to a first internet access point via a telephone network (e.g. BBS), as taught by Rudd in order to allow for efficiency, convenience and real time mechanism for obtaining device identifying information, instead of requiring a module be pre-supplied with user interface data and allow the Rezvani et al. system to leverage the plug and play functionality of the Rudd system. (See Rudd et al. col. 2 lines 25-44).

Response to Arguments

- 11. Applicant's remarks with respect to the objected Abstract, Drawings specification, objections of claim(s) 12-13, rejection of claims under 35 U.S.C 112 second paragraph, and rejection of claims under 35 U.S.C 101 have been fully considered. The rejection and objections have been withdrawn in light of amended claims.
- 12. Applicant's arguments filed 4/6/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 13. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., a user interface for remote control, a server module is a hardware pluggable server module, the server module is hardware pluggable server module which becomes updated with new software) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in

Art Unit: 2152

light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

In response to Applicant's argument that Rezvani et al. does not disclose a pluggable server module which store information and updating store identifying information of said device is said pluggable server module.

Applicants specification do not disclose pluggable server module as a standalone device per se.; as such Rezvani provides at least 2 embodiments of a pluggable server module (28): 1) as a standalone device 2) as software running on a client [0051]. It is also noted that Applicants specification, including claims, does not disclose updating store identifying information of said device is said pluggable server module and thus constitutes new matter.

Rezvani discloses wireless remote control terminal (17,22), which remotely accesses, a pluggable server module (fig. 1-Second Node: 28) with or without remote site (14) [0050][0057]. The Rezvani system provides users with the opportunity to remotely control and monitor devices (32) using wireless remote control terminals (17) [0056][0064]

Rezvani clearly indicates user interface data for a device (e.g. programming, objects, device descriptors, user interface, [49,58]) stored in pluggable server module (28) [0058][0060][0076], and thus is obvious at it relates to claims 6,9, and 10.

Conclusion

1. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carolyn F. Fleary whose telephone number is (571) 572-7218. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenton Burgess can be reached on (571) 272-3949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dung C. Dinh Primary Examiner Carolyn F Fleary Examiner Art Unit 2152